Lord of the Flies Essay, March 2012

	4 Exemplary	3.25 Proficient	2.5 Limited	1 Deficient	Peer Evaluation	Self-Evaluation	Teacher Eval
FCA 1: Format CIVIC/SOCIAL 25 points	 Double-spaced Font <= 12 point, Arial, Comic Sans, or Times New Roman, margins <= 1.25", no 	 Format meets most of exemplary requirements AND 1-3 errors with MLA citation 	 Format meets some of exemplary requirements AND 4 + errors with MLA citation 	 Formatting is insufficient OR MLA parenthetical notation and Works Cited 	CIVIC/ SOCIAL	CIVIC/ SOCIAL	CIVIC/ SOCIAL
	extra spaces b/w paragraphs • <u>Title page</u> includes name, due date, period, title of paper AND • No errors with MLA Citation	OR Works Cited	or Works Cited OR • EITHER Parenthetical Notation missing OR Works Cited absent	is absent			
	or Works Cited section (25 points/100%)	(20 points/80%)	(16 points/64%)	(6 points/24%)			
	 3+ relevant, carefully selected, quotations from LOTF or other related sources 	 3 relevant, connected quotations from <i>LOTF</i> All quotations analyzed and connected to thesis 	 2 relevant OR connected quotations from LOTF Attempt to analyze quotations analyzed attempt 	 1 relevant OR connected quotation from LOTF OR Paper length = 1-2 pages 			
	 Quotations analyzed skillfully and explicitly connected to thesis Paper length = 2+complete 	 Paper length = 2 complete pages Logical, clear thesis Introduction paragraph 	 to connect to thesis Paper length = 1-2 pages Thesis present Introduction paragraph 	 Thesis is inadequate or underdeveloped Introduction paragraph present 			
FCA 2: Content WRITING 150 points	 pages Developed, logical, clear, concise thesis Introduction paragraph 	 Transitions somewhat enhance fluidity Conclusion paragraph 	 Attempts at including transitions made Conclusion paragraph 	 Transitions inadequate (i.e. "firstly," "secondly," "thirdly") or missing Conclusion paragraph 	WRITING	METHO	MOTING
5	present Transitions enhance fluidity of ideas Conclusion paragraph 	encapsulates paper's purpose in a different manner from intro • Original work	present , but is a mere restatement of intro • Original work	missing or completely unrefined • Unoriginal work	WRITING	WRITING	WRITING
	encapsulates paper's purpose in an innovative manner • Original work						
	(150 points/100%)	(123 points/82%)	(94 points/63%)	(38 points/25%)			
	Written in third person (no	Written in third person for	 Attempts at writing in third 	Not written in third	1		
	use of "I"; "me"; "myself";	about 75% of the paper	person (approx 50%)	person OR			
<i>6</i>	"us"; "we"; "our"; "you";	 1-4 grammatical errors 	 4-10 grammatical errors 	 10+ major grammatical 			
ion	"your"; "yourself" et al) • Grammatical errors are non-	which do not obfuscate meaning	which obfuscate meaning at times	errors OR			
vig VG its	existent or do not obfuscate	 1-3 Errors with the 	• 4-6 Errors with the	• 7+ errors with the			
FCA 3: Conventions WRITING 25 points	meaning	following:	following:	following:			
	 No errors with single, double 	 Single or double 	 Single or double 	 Single or double 			
	quotation marks	quotation marks	quotation marks	quotation marks			
	 No errors with commas No errors with run ons 	Commas	Commas	Commas			
	 No errors with run-ons No errors with apostrophes 	Run-onsApostrophe	 Run-ons Apostrophes	 Run-ons Apostrophes			
	• No errors with apostrophes (25 points/100%)	 Apostrophe (20 points/80%) 	 Apostropnes (16 points/ 64%) 	 Apostrophes (6 points/ 24%) 			
s	Presence of 3 correctly used	Attempt to use 3 Word Bank	Presence of 1-2 correctly	No Word Bank words	VOCABULARY	VOCABULARY	VOCABULARY
A 4: ord nk CAB	and indicated Word Bank	words correctly; words are	used and indicated Word				
FCA 4: Word Bank VOCAB 25 points	words	indicated	Bank words	10 maint = 10 mm/h			
	(25 points/100%)	(20 points/80%)	(16 points/64%)	(6 points/24%)			

		6	5	4	3	2	1	Peer	Self-Evaluation	Teacher
CAPT SCORE : READING 25points	Initial Understanding	Demonstrates a basic understanding of the story as a whole. The interpretation is richly supported with examples from the text.	Demonstrates a basic understanding of the story as a whole. The interpretation is thoughtful and well- supported with examples from the text.	Demonstrates a basic understanding of the story as a whole & provides a plausible interpretation supported with examples from the text.	Demonstrates some understanding of portions of the text or of the story as a whole.	Demonstrates a literal or superficial understanding of portions of the text or the story as a whole	Demonstrates limited understanding &/or serious misunderstanding of portions of the text or the story as a whole.	evaluation INITIAL UNDER- STANDING	INITIAL UNDER- STANDING	Evaluation INITIAL UNDER- STANDING
	Interpretation	Demonstrates exceptional ability to reflect, revise, reshape &/or deepen initial understanding.	Demonstrates acceptable ability to reflect, revise, reshape &/or deepen initial understanding.	Demonstrates some ability to reflect, revise, reshape &/or deepen initial understanding.	Demonstrates limited ability to reflect, revise, reshape &/or deepen initial understanding.	Demonstrates little ability to reflect, revise, reshape &/or deepen initial understanding.	Demonstrates no ability to reflect, revise, reshape &/or deepen initial understanding.	INTERPRE- TATION	INTERPRE- TATION	INTERPRE TATION
	Connection	Demonstrates Demonstrates perceptive associations/ associations & connections between connections the text, other texts, between story & &/or outside other texts &/or experience; generally outside experiences supports these connections with examples from the		Demonstrates some associations/ connections between the text, other texts, &/or outside experience, may not be supported with examples from the text	Demonstrates an association/ connection between the text, other texts, &/or outside experience, superficial, lacks depth &/or support	Demonstrates difficulty making or supporting an association &/or connections between the story & outside experiences	Demonstrates no meaningful associations/ connections between the text, other texts, &/or outside experiences	CON- NECTION	CON- NECTION	CON- NECTION
	Critical Stance	Demonstrates perceptive judgments about the literary quality of the story & supports these judgments with examples from the text &/or outside experiences	Demonstrates thoughtful judgments about the literary quality of the story & supports these judgments with examples from the text &/or outside experiences	Demonstrates judgments about the literary quality of the story but they tend to lack depth &/or are not well supported with examples from the story &/or outside experiences.	Demonstrates judgments about the literary quality of the story but they lack depth & are not supported with examples from the story &/or outside experiences.	Demonstrates limited judgment about the literary quality that is superficial or emotional or is not supported with examples from the story or outside experiences.	Demonstrates no awareness of the literary quality of the story.	CRITICAL STANCE	CRITICAL STANCE	CRITICAL STANCE
					(TOTAL READI Add an extra point to	NG CAPT SCORE b even it out to 25!)	READING SCORES TOTAL + 1	READING SCORES TOTAL + 1	READING SCORES TOTAL +
		Due Date	s:	FINA	L TEACHER EV	ALUATION:				
2/15 (1, 3, 4); 2/16 (6, 7) Rough Draft due (bring a copy to class OR email to Ms HB) 2/23 (1, 3); 2/24 (4, 6, 7) Peer Review Draft due via EMAIL			CIVIC/SOCIAL/25 WRITING/175 VOCABULARY/25			OVERALL PERCENTAGE ON LOTF PAPE (Please note that the scores on the paper will reported by SKILL, not as an overall percentage /250 = %				
3/1 ((1); 3	/2 (3, 4, 6); 3/5 (INAL draft due v	7)	READING	6/25			,20		